SEQUENCE VARIATIONS AT I260 AND A1731 CONTRIBUTE TO PERSISTENT CURRENTS IN DROSOPHILA SODIUM CHANNELS
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ABSTRACT—Tetrodotoxin-sensitive persistent sodium currents, \( I_{NaP} \), that activate at subthreshold voltages, have been detected in numerous vertebrate and invertebrate neurons. These currents are believed to be critical for regulating neuronal excitability. However, the molecular mechanism underlying \( I_{NaP} \) is controversial. In this study, we identified an \( I_{NaP} \) with a broad range of voltage dependence, from \(-60 \text{ mV} \) to \( 20 \text{ mV} \), in a Drosophila sodium channel variant expressed in Xenopus oocytes. Mutational analysis revealed that two variant-specific amino acid changes, I260T in the S4–S5 linker of domain I (ILS4–S5) and A1731V in the voltage sensor S4, contributed to the \( I_{NaP} \) at hyperpolarized potentials. The T260-mediated \( I_{NaP} \) is likely the result of window currents flowing in the voltage range where the activation and inactivation curves overlap. A1731V is responsible for impaired inactivation and contributes to the portion of \( I_{NaP} \) at depolarized potentials. Furthermore, A1731V causes enhanced activity of two site-3 toxins which induce persistent currents by inhibiting the outward movement of IVS4, suggesting that A1731V inhibits the outward movement of IVS4. These results provided molecular evidence for the involvement of distinct mechanisms in the generation of \( I_{NaP} \): T260 contributes to \( I_{NaP} \) via enhancement of the window current, whereas V1731 impairs fast inactivation probably by inhibiting the outward movement of IVS4.
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INTRODUCTION

Voltage-gated sodium channels are critical for the initiation and propagation of action potentials in neurons and other excitable cells (Catterall, 2000). They consist of a large pore-forming \( \alpha \)-subunit, which is associated with a variable number of smaller \( \beta \)-subunits in different excitable tissues. The \( \alpha \)-subunit comprises four homologous domains (I–IV), each having six membrane spanning segments (S1–S6) (Catterall, 2000). The S5 and S6 segments form the inner pore, whereas the reentrant loops (called the P-region) connecting S5 and S6 segments form the outer pore, which serves as the ion selectivity filter. The S1–S4 segments function as the voltage sensor; each S4 segment has five to eight basic residues, either arginine or lysine, separated from one another by two neutral residues. In response to membrane depolarization, S4s move outward, initiating conformational changes, which results in channel opening (i.e., activation) and an inward sodium current. This current is transient because a few milliseconds after opening, the channels are inactivated (i.e., closed). The short intracellular loop connecting domains III and IV, known as the fast inactivation gate, serves as an intracellular blocking particle that occludes the pore during inactivation (Catterall, 2012).

Besides the transient (i.e., fast inactivating) sodium currents (\( I_{NaT} \)), which are responsible for the upstroke of action potentials, there are also tetrodotoxin (TTX)-sensitive non-inactivating or persistent currents (\( I_{NaP} \)), which activate at subthreshold voltages and cannot inactivate completely even with prolonged depolarization (Taylor, 1993; Crill, 1996; Stafstrom, 2007; Kiss, 2008). \( I_{NaP} \) have been detected in numerous types of vertebrate neurons in the brain, such as the suprachiasmatic nucleus (Pennartz et al., 1997; Jackson et al., 2004); cerebellar nuclei (Raman et al., 2000); and tuberomammillary neurons (Linas and Alonso, 1992; Uteshev et al., 1995; Taddei and Bean, 2002). \( I_{NaP} \) are also found in invertebrate neurons, such as squid giant axons (Rakowski et al., 2002; Clay, 2003), squid olfactory receptor neurons (Chen and Lucero, 1999), leech spontaneously active heart interneurons (Opdyke and Calabrese, 1994), Drosophila aCC/RP2 motor neurons (Mee et al., 2004), and cockroach terminal abdominal afferent dorsal unpaired median (DUM) octopaminergic neurons (Lapiéd et al., 1990). It is generally believed that they are critical for acceleration of firing rates, boosting synaptic inputs, and promotion of oscillatory neural activities (Taylor, 1993; Crill, 1996;
Stafstrom, 2007). Whether $I_{NaP}$ arise from distinct sodium channels or rather from different gating modes of a common sodium channel is an important yet unresolved issue (Kiss et al., 2009).

Mammals have nine genes that encode nine sodium channel $\alpha$-subunit isoforms, with different gating properties and different expression patterns in various cell types, tissues, and developmental stages, presumably to accommodate unique physiological functions in specific neuronal and non-neuronal cells (Catterall, 2000; Goldin et al., 2000; Frank and Catterall, 2003). In contrast to mammals, most insects including Drosophila melanogaster appear to have only a single sodium channel gene that encodes an equivalent of the $\alpha$-subunit of mammalian sodium channels (Loughney et al., 1989; Feng et al., 1995; Warmke et al., 1997; Dong, 2010). Despite having only a single gene, insects generate many sodium channel variants with different gating and pharmacological properties by alternative splicing and RNA editing (Dong, 2007, 2010). For example, an RNA editing event leading to an F to I substitution at the C-terminal end of a cockroach sodium channel resulted in the generation of $I_{NaP}$ (Liu et al., 2004). Also, two mutually exclusive exons I and k of the Drosophila sodium channel, DmNa$_{v}$, regulate the size of $I_{NaP}$ current (Lin et al., 2009).

In the course of functional analysis of DmNa$_{v}$ variants from D. melanogaster, we found that DmNa$_{v,7-1}$ exhibits a prominent $I_{NaP}$ (10–15% of the size of $I_{NaT}$) with extremely hyperpolarizing voltage dependence of both activation and inactivation compared with other DmNa$_{v}$ variants (Olson et al., 2008). Mutational and functional analyses of this variant in Xenopus oocytes revealed two amino acid substitutions, which are unique to DmNa$_{v,7-1}$, that contribute to the generation of the $I_{NaP}$. They are I260T in the linker connecting S4 and S5 in domain I (IL45), and A1731V in S4 of domain IV (IVS4). I260T is responsible for the hyperpolarizing portion of $I_{NaP}$; whereas A1731V is responsible for the depolarizing part of $I_{NaP}$. The T260-mediated $I_{NaP}$ is the result of window currents flowing in the voltage range where the activation and inactivation curves overlap. In contrast, the V1731-mediated $I_{NaP}$ is the result of impaired fast inactivation. In addition, we found that the V1731-mediated $I_{NaP}$ enhanced the action of two site-3 peptide toxins, which are known to induce $I_{NaP}$ by inhibiting the outward movement of IVS4. The findings from this study link the generation of $I_{NaP}$ in Drosophila sodium channels to both window currents and possible perturbations in the movement of the voltage sensor in domain IV.

**EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES**

**Sodium channel variants of D. melanogaster**

Sodium channel variants from D. melanogaster (DmNa$_{v}$) were previously cloned and characterized in Xenopus oocytes (Olson et al., 2008).

**Site-directed mutagenesis**

Site-directed mutagenesis was performed by Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR) using specific primers and Pfu Turbo DNA polymerase (Stratagene, La Jolla, CA, USA). All mutagenesis results were verified by DNA sequencing.

**Expression of DmNa$_{v}$ channels in Xenopus oocytes**

The procedures for oocyte preparation and cRNA injection were identical to those described previously (Olson et al., 2008). For robust expression of sodium currents, cRNA was co-injected into oocytes with D. melanogaster tipE cRNA (1:1 ratio), which enhances sodium channel expression (Feng et al., 1995; Warmke et al., 1997).

**Electrophysiological recording and analysis**

The gating properties of DmNa$_{v}$ channels were determined using the two electrode voltage clamp technique. Protocols for electrophysiological recording and data analysis were similar to those described previously (Tan et al., 2005). The voltage dependence of sodium channel conductance ($G$) was calculated by measuring the peak current at test potentials (20 ms) ranging from $-80$ mV to $+65$ mV in 5-mV increments and divided by the driving force ($V - V_{rev}$), where $V$ is the test potential and $V_{rev}$ is the reversal potential for sodium. Peak conductance values were normalized to the maximal peak conductance ($G_{max}$) and fitted with a two-state Boltzmann equation of the form $G/G_{max} = [1 + \exp(V - V_{1/2})/k]^{-1}$, in which $V$ is the potential of the voltage pulse, $V_{1/2}$ is the voltage for half-maximal activation, and $k$ is the slope factor. The voltage dependence of fast inactivation was determined using 100-ms prepulses ranging from $-120$ to $40$ mV in 5-mV increments and then a $-10$-mV test pulse for 20 ms. The normalized peak current was plotted as a function of the prepulse potential. The data were fitted with a Boltzmann equation to generate $V_{0.5}$, the midpoint of the inactivation curve, and $k$, the slope factor.

A double pulse protocol was used to determine the onset of fast inactivation. Prepulse potentials ranging from $-80$ to $20$ mV in 10-mV increments of varying durations were applied from a holding potential of $-120$ mV followed by a test pulse to $10$ mV for 20 ms. To determine recovery from fast inactivation, sodium channels were inactivated by a 100-ms depolarizing pulse to $-10$ mV and then repolarized from $-120$ mV to $-50$ mV for an interval of variable durations followed by a 20-ms test pulse to $-10$ mV.

A slow depolarizing ramp protocol from a holding potential of $-100$ to $20$ mV at a ramp rate of 0.2 mV/ms, which promotes inactivation of the rapidly inactivating component of sodium current, was used to examine the properties of $I_{NaP}$.

The development of fast inactivation was determined by applying a prepulse of $-60$ mV of varying durations from a holding potential of $-120$ mV followed by a $-10$ mV test pulse for 20 ms to measure the fraction of...
sodium channels that were inactivated during the prepulse. Data for the development of inactivation were fitted to a single exponential function and plotted as a function of the development pulse voltage (from −70 mV to −30 mV in 10-mV increments).

The recovery from fast inactivation was measured using a two-pulse protocol, in which channels were fast-inactivated by a 100-ms pulse to −10 mV, and then were allowed to recover at −80 mV for an increasing time, and finally 20 ms of −10-mV test pulse to measure the fraction of recovered channels. Data for recovery from fast inactivation were fitted to a single exponential function and plotted as a function of the recovery voltage (from −120 mV to −50 mV in 10-mV increments).

**Measurement of toxin effects**

Two site-3 toxins in their recombinant forms were used: Av3, a 27 amino-acid-peptide toxin from the sea anemone Anemonia viridis (previously named Anemonia sulcata) (Moran et al., 2007) and LqhPTI, a 64 residue long polypeptide from the scorpion Leiurus quinquestriatus (Zilberberg et al., 1996). Stock solutions of Av3 (50 μM) and LqhPTI (10 μM) were prepared in distilled water containing 10% bovine serum albumin to prevent toxin adherence to vials. The extent of fast inactivation was assayed by measuring the peak current as well as the current 20 ms after depolarization onset. The ratio \( I_{20ms}/I_{peak} \) gives an estimate of the probability for channels not to be inactivated after 20 ms; a value of zero represents complete inactivation in 20 ms, whereas a value of one indicates no inactivation (Chen et al., 2000).

**Statistical analysis**

Data are presented as the mean ± SEM (standard error of the mean). Statistical analysis was performed using a one-way ANOVA test and Scheffe’s post hoc analysis. Significance values were set at \( P < 0.05 \) or as indicated in the table and figure legends.

**RESULTS**

**Substitutions T260I and V1731A eliminate \( I_{NaP} \) and the hyperpolarized shifts in gating**

The DmNa\(_v\),7-1 variant was co-expressed in *Xenopus* oocytes with TipE, which is known to enhance the expression of insect sodium channels (Feng et al., 1995; Warmke et al., 1997). Representative sodium currents from oocytes expressing DmNa\(_v\),7-1 and TipE are shown in Fig. 1A. Besides the major component of transient sodium current (\( I_{NaT} \)), there is a fraction of sodium current that failed to inactivate, i.e., persistent sodium current (\( I_{NaP} \)). In addition, DmNa\(_v\),7-1 channels activate and inactivate at more hyperpolarized membrane potentials compared with other DmNa\(_v\) variants (Olson et al., 2008) (Fig. 1B). \( I_{NaP} \) was normalized to \( I_{NaT} \) and the voltage dependence of normalized \( I_{NaP} \) was plotted in Fig. 1B along with the voltage-dependence of \( I_{NaT} \). \( I_{NaP} \) currents activated over a broad voltage range, starting at ca. −20 mV and peaking at ca. −20 mV. The amplitude of the maximal \( I_{NaP} \) was 13% of \( I_{NaT} \) (Fig. 1B). Both \( I_{NaT} \) and \( I_{NaP} \) were completely abolished in the presence of 10 nM tetrodotoxin (TTX), which specifically blocks voltage-gated sodium channels.

A total of 65 DmNa\(_v\) variants were previously isolated from the adult *D. melanogaster* and characterized in *Xenopus* oocytes (one variant reported in Liu et al., 2004; and 64 variants described in Olson et al., 2008). The 64 variants were grouped into 29 types based on the alternative exon usage (Olson et al., 2008). Compared to a DmNa\(_v\) cDNA sequence previously deposited in GenBank (Accession number: M32078), 12 amino acid changes are found in DmNa\(_v\),7-1 (Olson et al., 2008). Six of them (I260T, K303R, W319R, E771G, H1676R and A1731V) are unique to DmNa\(_v\),7-1 (Fig. 2A), whereas other variants possess I260, K303, W319, E771, H1676 and A1731 like the deposited cDNA sequence. To determine which amino acid change(s) is(are) responsible for the \( I_{NaP} \) and hyperpolarized gating of DmNa\(_v\),7-1, we individually replaced the six unique residues in DmNa\(_v\),7-1 with residues found in the deposited cDNA and other DmNa\(_v\) variants. The resultant six mutant channels, T260I, R303K, R319W, E771, H1676 and A1731, were functionally examined in *Xenopus* oocytes.

The amplitude of \( I_{NaP} \) was decreased in two mutant channels, T260I and V1731A, and was essentially abolished in the double mutant, T260I + V1731A (Fig. 2B, C). However, the other four substitutions had no effect on \( I_{NaP} \) (Fig. 2C). Moreover, T260I shifted the voltage dependence of channel activation in the depolarizing direction by approximately 22 mV (Fig. 2D, Table 1), but had no significant effect on the voltage dependence of inactivation (Fig. 2E, Table 1). In contrast, V1731A shifted the voltage dependence of inactivation in the depolarizing direction by 14 mV (Fig. 2E, Table 1), but had no significant effect on the voltage dependence of activation (Fig. 2D and Table 1). The other four substitutions had no significant effect on the voltage dependence of activation and inactivation (Table 1). Furthermore, the T260I + V1731A double mutant exhibited depolarizing shifts in the voltage dependence of both activation and inactivation (Fig. 2D, E, Table 1).

**Identification of two components of \( I_{NaP} \) in DmNa\(_v\),7-1**

We plotted the voltage dependence of \( I_{NaP} \) with the lower part of the conductance and inactivation curves of \( I_{NaT} \) in Fig. 3 for the wild-type DmNa\(_v\),7-1, T260I, V1731A and T260I + V1731A mutant channels. For the DmNa\(_v\),7-1 channel, the voltage dependence of channel activation and inactivation of \( I_{NaT} \) overlapped in the potential range from −60 mV to −40 mV, resulting in a window current (Fig. 3A). The hyperpolarizing portion of \( I_{NaP} \) (normalized to \( I_{NaT} \)) partially overlapped with the window current (Fig. 3A). In contrast, the more depolarizing portion of \( I_{NaP} \) was in a voltage range in which channel activation was saturated, but channel inactivation was incomplete. Intriguingly, substitution T260I eliminated the window current by shifting the voltage dependence...
of activation in the depolarizing direction, and also eliminated the portion of $I_{NaP}$ at hyperpolarizing potentials (Fig. 3B), suggesting a link between the window current and the portion of $I_{NaP}$ at hyperpolarizing potentials. In contrast, the V1731A substitution eliminated the more depolarizing portion of $I_{NaP}$, but enlarged the window current due to a depolarizing shift of inactivation (Fig. 3C). The double substitution
Table 1. Voltage-dependences of activation and inactivation of DmNa₇-1 and mutant channels

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Na⁺ Channel type</th>
<th>Activation</th>
<th>Inactivation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>V₁/₂ (mV)</td>
<td>k (mV)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DmNa₇-1</td>
<td>-45.8 ± 1.4</td>
<td>6.7 ± 0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V1731A</td>
<td>-47.7 ± 1.0</td>
<td>3.4 ± 0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T260I</td>
<td>-26.1 ± 1.7</td>
<td>9.2 ± 1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T260I + V1731A</td>
<td>-23.5 ± 1.4</td>
<td>8.4 ± 0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R303K</td>
<td>-45.5 ± 1.1</td>
<td>4.7 ± 0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R319W</td>
<td>-47.0 ± 0.7</td>
<td>4.9 ± 0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G771E</td>
<td>-50.6 ± 1.1</td>
<td>6.3 ± 0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R1676H</td>
<td>-47.1 ± 1.0</td>
<td>3.9 ± 0.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The voltage dependences of conductance and inactivation were fitted with a two-state Boltzmann equation to determine V₁/₂, the voltage for half-maximal conductance or inactivation, and k, the slope factor for conductance or inactivation. The values in the table represent the mean ± SED and n is the number of oocytes used. The asterisks indicate significant differences from the wild-type DmNa₇-1 channel as determined by using a one-way analysis of variance with Scheffe’s post hoc analysis (p < 0.05).

Fig. 3. Voltage dependence of IₙaP, window currents and ramp currents. (A–D) The lower sections of the conductance and inactivation curves of IₙaT and the voltage dependence of IₙaP for DmNa₇-1 (A) T260I (B), V1731A (C) and T260I + V1731A (D). (E) The percentage of IₙaP was normalized to the IₙaT peak for DmNa₇-1 and the three mutant channels. (F) Ramp currents evoked during a voltage ramp from -100 mV to +20 mV over 600 ms (0.2 mV/ms). The ramp data were normalized to the transient peak current (IₙaT). Each curve was the average of measurements of at least 10 oocytes.
eliminated most of the $I_{\text{nap}}$ (Fig. 3D). The voltage dependence of $I_{\text{nap}}$ in the wild-type and three mutant channels are summarized in Fig. 3E.

We then used a slow voltage ramp from $-100$ mV to $20$ mV at $0.2$ mV/ms to further characterize the gating properties of $I_{\text{nap}}$. This ramp protocol inactivates $I_{\text{nap}}$, leaving just the window and persistent currents. The voltage-dependence of the persistent current resulting from the ramp protocol (Fig. 3F) was extremely similar to that of $I_{\text{nap}}$ in Fig. 3E. These results further indicate that I260T in DmNa7-1 is responsible for both the window current and the hyperpolarizing portion of $I_{\text{nap}}$. However, the fact that the A1731V change enlarged the window current but did not affect the ramp or $I_{\text{nap}}$ currents demonstrates that the depolarizing portion of $I_{\text{nap}}$ does not result from the window current.

Substitution I260T is due to a T to C change in the transcript encoding DmNa7-1 (atg to acc), possibly by a U-to-C editing event, which remains to be confirmed. However, an A-to-I editing event at the same codon but at a different nucleotide (underlined in atg to gcc) was identified in two other variants, DmNa4-2 and DmNa5-1, resulting in substitution I260V (Olson et al., 2008). While DmNa4-2 did not produce sufficient currents in oocytes for functional analysis, DmNa5-1 exhibited $I_{\text{nap}}$, but with a smaller amplitude compared to DmNa7-1 (Fig. 4A). When V260 was replaced with I (i.e., V260I) in DmNa5-1, the $I_{\text{nap}}$ decreased (Fig. 4B). Furthermore, consistent with the earlier report (Olson et al., 2008), the V260I substitution also shifted the voltage-dependence of activation in the depolarizing direction. However, the shift by V260I was much smaller than that by T260I, 10 mV vs. 22 mV (Fig. 4C; Table 2). Like T260I, the V260I substitution had no effect on the voltage-dependence of channel inactivation (Fig. 4D; Table 2). The voltage-dependence of $I_{\text{nap}}$ appears to coincide with the voltage dependence of the window current (Fig. 4E), suggesting that substitution I260V in DmNa5-1 contributes to both the window current and $I_{\text{nap}}$.

Substitution V1731A slows entry into and accelerates recovery from fast inactivation

To determine whether the V1731-mediated depolarized portion of $I_{\text{nap}}$ results from impaired fast inactivation, we investigated the development of fast inactivation and recovery from fast inactivation of DmNa7-1 and mutant channels. Compared with DmNa7-1 channels that exhibit fast entry into and recovery from inactivation, the V1731A substitution and the double substitution slowed entry into fast inactivation (Fig. 5A) and accelerated recovery from fast inactivation (Fig. 5B). In contrast, substitution T260I did not alter the kinetics of entry into inactivation, but slowed recovery from fast inactivation (Fig. 5A, B). Furthermore, the voltage dependence of the kinetics for both entry into and recovery from fast inactivation was shifted in the depolarizing direction for V1731A and V1731A + T260I (Fig. 5C, D). T260I caused a hyperpolarizing shift in the voltage dependence for recovery from fast inactivation (Fig. 5D), but not in the voltage dependence of entry into fast inactivation (Fig. 5C).

Substitution A1731V increases $I_{\text{nap}}$ likely by interfering with the outward movement of IVS4

A1731V is located between the 6th and 7th of eight positively charged residues in IVS4 of DmNa7-1. The outward movement of positively charged IVS4 facilitates the docking of the inactivation particle to its receptor site and therefore this outward movement is critical for fast inactivation (Kuhn and Greeff, 1999; Yang and Kuo, 2003). We predicted that A1731V could cause incomplete inactivation by interfering with the outward movement of IVS4, thereby impairing the docking of the inactivation particle to its receptor. To test this hypothesis, we used two sodium channel site-3 toxins which are known to induce persistent current by inhibiting the outward movement of IVS4 (Hanck and Sheets, 2007; Moran et al., 2007). If A1731V in DmNa7-1 channels induces persistent current by inhibiting the outward movement of IVS4, we reasoned that site-3 toxins would be more effective on DmNa7-1 channels over V1731A mutant channels. Therefore, we examined the sensitivity of DmNa7-1 and V1731A and T260I mutant channels to Av3 and Lqh\hast IT, two insect-selective site-3 venom toxins.

Av3 and Lqh\hast IT inhibited fast inactivation and induced persistent currents, as expected. In the presence of 250 nM Av3, the percentage of $I_{\text{nap}}$ dramatically increased, from 13% to 90% (Fig. 6A, B). Av3 also increased the peak current (Fig. 6A). Av3 had similar effects on V1731A and T260I mutant channels (Fig. 6A). However, V1731A channels were less sensitive to Av3 than DmNa7-1 and T260I channels, consistent with our prediction (Fig. 6A, B). The V1731A substitution also reduced channel sensitivity to Lqh\hast IT, whereas the T260I substitution did not (Fig. 6C, D).

DISCUSSION

Substantial research has been focused on the origin of $I_{\text{nap}}$ and its contribution to the regulation of neuronal activities in various regions of mammalian brains. However, the molecular mechanism underlying $I_{\text{nap}}$ currents is largely elusive. Previous studies have indicated that persistent currents can result from either alternative gating modes or splice/RNA editing variants, with possibly multiple mechanisms (Liu et al., 2004; Stafsrom, 2007; Lin et al., 2009; Chatelier et al., 2010). To gain insight into the molecular basis of $I_{\text{nap}}$, we conducted mutational analysis of a Drosophila sodium channel variant, DmNa7-1, that exhibits a large $I_{\text{nap}}$ when expressed in Xenopus oocytes. Our data show that $I_{\text{nap}}$ originates from two unique amino acid substitutions: I260T in the S4-S5 linker in domain I and A1731V in the voltage sensor S4 in domain IV. Substitution I260T is responsible for a hyperpolarizing shift in activation, resulting in a large window current which contributes to the $I_{\text{nap}}$ at hyperpolarized potentials. The mutant channel bearing substitution A1731V generates $I_{\text{nap}}$ at more depolarized potentials, due to the impairment of fast inactivation probably by inhibiting the outward movement of IVS4. Our findings reveal that a combination of two sequence features
equipped with two distinct mechanisms underlies the unique gating of DmNa\textsubscript{7-1} channels.

The involvement of window currents in the generation of $I_{\text{NaP}}$ has been controversial (Kiss et al., 2009). Our findings indicate that I260T-mediated $I_{\text{NaP}}$ at hyperpolarized potentials originates from window currents. First, the T260-mediated 22-mV hyperpolarizing shift in the voltage-dependence of activation increases the overlap between activation and inactivation curves, resulting in both a larger window current and a larger $I_{\text{NaP}}$. Second, the voltage range for the window current completely coincides with the voltage range for the activation of the T260-mediated $I_{\text{NaP}}$ (Fig. 3). Third, substitution T260I abolishes both the window current and the portion of $I_{\text{NaP}}$ at more negative potentials. Fourth, substitution I260V, due to an A-to-I editing event (Olson et al., 2008), causes a smaller hyperpolarizing shift of only 10 mV, which results in smaller window and $I_{\text{NaP}}$ currents.

Among the 65 available DmNa\textsubscript{v} variants, DmNa\textsubscript{v}7-1 is the only variant with I260T and A1731V substitutions, indicating that the frequency of these putative RNA editing events is extremely low (1.5%). However, an A-to-I editing at I260 resulting in substitution I260V was previously observed in two DmNa\textsubscript{v} that belong to different splicing types (Olson et al., 2008). We recently conducted bulk Solexa sequencing and RNAseq of DmNa\textsubscript{v} cDNAs from fly heads and brains in an attempt to independently detect these putative editing sites in additional DmNa\textsubscript{v} variants. We realized that these sequencing techniques cannot accurately detect transcripts that are below 3–5% and hence, were not effective in detecting I260T and A1731V substitutions in bulk cDNA populations. Future experiments are needed.
to detect I260T and A1731V substitutions in other DmNa_v variants by analyzing a much greater number of cDNA clones from specific nerve tissues. In a previous study (Liu et al., 2004), we identified an I NaP generated by substitution F1950S in DmNa_v (i.e., F1919S in BgNa_v) as a result of a U-to-C editing event at the C-terminal domain (Liu et al., 2004). The frequency of this U-to-C editing was also extremely low. Initially, this substitution was identified in one of 69 BgNa_v variants; and one of 65 DmNa_v variants (Liu et al., 2004). Subsequent targeted analysis of 561 clones isolated from a specific tissue (cockroach terminal ganglia), where an I NaP was previously reported in cockroach, showed that 3.2% of BgNa_v transcripts were edited (Liu et al., 2004). Sodium channel opening at these subthreshold voltages (such as -60 mV) is significant because the resulting inward currents tend to depolarize the resting membrane potential and regulate the excitability of neurons in which these RNA editing events occur. Potentially, RNA editing at position 260 occurs at different nucleotides, resulting in different amino acid substitutions. The fact that different substitutions modify the gating differently suggests that various neurons may achieve precise levels of modulation of sodium channel gating by RNA editing to fulfill their unique functional roles in the nervous system. Identifying the localization or spatiotemporal functioning of such neurons in insects might greatly advance the understanding of how persistent currents regulate neuronal activities in vivo.

Sodium channel opening is initiated by the outward movement of the positively charged S4 voltage sensors through the electric field, as evident from the measurements of gating currents (Chanda and Bezanilla, 2002). Short intracellular linkers between the S4 and S5 segments transmit the movements of the voltage sensing modules to the S6 segments during channel opening and closing (Catterall, 2012). Furthermore, the contribution of voltage sensors to channel gating is domain-specific. Voltage sensors in domains I, II and III are primarily involved in channel activation, whereas the voltage sensor in domain IV is critical for inactivation (Chahine et al., 1994; Chen et al., 1996; Sheets et al., 1999; Chanda and Bezanilla, 2002). Domains I, II and III generate the fast component of the gating current that correlates with the opening of the channel, while domain IV generates the slow component of the gating current that is a prelude to the inactivation process (Chanda and Bezanilla, 2002; Goldschen-Ohm et al., 2004; Ballesteros et al., 2005).
Consistent with this general dogma, substitutions I260T/V in the S4–S5 linker of domain I affect activation, whereas substitution A1731V in S4 of domain IV affects inactivation. The I260T substitution is situated in the linker connecting S4 and S5 of domain I. I260 is conserved among all sodium channels. In fact, the entire IL45 sequence is highly conserved among insect and mammalian sodium channels (Fig. 7), suggesting an important role of this linker in modulating sodium channel function. Indeed, the same mutation (I234T) in hNa\textsubscript{v}1.7, which is associated with inherited erythromelalgia (IEM), causes similar gating modifications (Ahn et al., 2010). Like I260T, the I234T mutation induces a large (18 mV) hyperpolarizing shift in the voltage dependence of activation and also increases the window currents (Ahn et al., 2010). These altered gating properties associated with I234T could contribute to the increased excitability of nociceptive dorsal root ganglion neurons where hNa\textsubscript{v}1.7 channels are located. It is possible that the I260T substitution would result in similar physiological effects in Drosophila neurons where the I260T editing occurs.

Neutralization of the positively charged residues in IVS4, particularly the outermost one, reduced the voltage sensitivity of fast inactivation (Chen et al., 1996; Kontis et al., 1997). Here we show that a neutral residue located between the 6th and 7th positively charged residues in IVS4 also has an important role in the inactivation process potentially by controlling the outward movement of IVS4. This alanine is extremely conserved among insect and mammalian sodium channels (Fig. 7B). The fact that a subtle change from A1371 to V1371 drastically alters the voltage dependence of inactivation indicates the importance of neutral residues in IVS4 in fast inactivation. Furthermore, the A1731V substitution in DmNa\textsubscript{v}7-1 enhanced the action of two site-3 scorpion and sea anemone toxins on inactivation. Site-3 toxins inhibit sodium channel fast inactivation by binding at the extracellular loops near IVS4 (Tejedor and Catterall, 2010).
Several mammalian sodium channels, such as NaV1.3, NaV1.6 and NaV1.9, have been reported to generate persistent currents (Smith et al., 1998; Dib-Hajj et al., 2002; Lampert et al., 2006a,b; Sun et al., 2007). However, inNaP from various neurons in the mammalian brain exhibit a wider range of gating properties than those of brain sodium channel isoforms, and the underlying causes of these inNaP are largely unknown at the molecular level (PENNARZ ET AL., 1997; MAGISTRETTI ET AL., 1999A,B; TADDENSE AND BEAN, 2002; JACKSON ET AL., 2004; KHALIQ AND BEAN, 2010; YAMADA-HANF AND BEAN, 2013). It is possible that the expression of inNaP in neurons is different from that observed in Xenopus oocytes due to neuron-specific posttranslational regulation. Alternatively and/or in addition, alternative splicing and RNA editing also play an important role in the generation of inNaP in mammals, a possibility that remains to be investigated. In contrast, the role of alternative splicing and RNA editing in regulating inNaP in insects has been demonstrated. A previous study shows that two mutually exclusive exons k/l encoding IIIIS4-5 in DmNaV significantly affected the magnitude of inNaP, which ranges from 1.5% to 2.4% of transient current for variants including exon k, but increases to 4.1–9.5% in variants including exon l (LIN ET AL., 2009). Apparently, alternative splicing resulting in the inclusion of exon l in replacement of exon k is associated with increased neuronal excitability (LIN ET AL., 2012). Interestingly, Pasilla, an RNA-binding protein involved in alternative splicing of DmNaV, regulates the magnitude of inNaP by modulating the relative proportions of exons k/l (PARK ET AL., 2004; LIN ET AL., 2009, 2012). Our previous and current studies showed that the magnitude of RNA editing-mediated inNaP ranges from about 50% of inNaP for F1950S editing site (LIU ET AL., 2004) to 2% of inNaP for I260V editing site. Taken together, these studies suggest that alternative splicing and RNA editing are likely important mechanisms in modulating the excitability of insect neurons.

CONCLUSION

We identified two residues, T260 in the S4-S5 linker of domain I and V1731 in IVS4, that are responsible for inNaP in a Drosophila sodium channel variant. Our findings provide molecular evidence for the contribution of window currents to the generation of persistent currents. Furthermore, analysis of the action of site-3 neurotoxins on DmNaV,7-1 and mutant channels revealed the role of a neutral residue in IVS4 in regulating the voltage sensor movement and channel inactivation.
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